

**PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COMMISSION DIRECTIVE**

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTER	<input type="checkbox"/>	DATE	<u>April 14, 2010</u>
MOTOR CARRIER MATTER	<input type="checkbox"/>	DOCKET NO.	<u>2010-96-E</u>
UTILITIES MATTER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ORDER NO.	<u>2010-290</u>

**THIS DIRECTIVE SHALL SERVE AS THE COMMISSION'S ORDER ON THIS ISSUE.**

**SUBJECT:**

DOCKET NO. 2010-96-E - Doris E. Taylor, Complainant/Petitioner v. South Carolina Electric & Gas Company, Defendant/Respondent - Discuss with the Commission South Carolina Electric & Gas Company's Motion to Dismiss.

**COMMISSION ACTION:**

On February 24, 2010, Ms. Taylor filed a complaint with the Commission, stating that she had been charged \$299 for the month of December, but that she was not living in the house at the time because the heat was not working. On March 25, 2010, South Carolina Electric & Gas Company responded to the complaint and filed a motion to dismiss supported by sworn testimony, on the grounds that there has been no violation of any applicable statute, law, regulation or order within the Commission's jurisdiction. The motion contains evidence of the testing of both the gas and electric meters at Ms. Taylor's residence, and sets out the billing and payment history since Ms. Taylor began service in late September. While Ms. Taylor did submit a letter on April 12 which notified the Commission that she did not want her case dismissed, her letter was not filed within the 10 day time period provided in Commission Regulation 103-829, and in any event, provided no substantive response to the matters raised in the utility's motion to dismiss. Pursuant to Commission Regulation 103-829, deadlines may be modified by order of the Commission for good cause. I move that we suspend the hearing in this docket scheduled for Monday, April 19, 2010, and allow Ms. Taylor a brief extension of time in which to file a substantive response to the issues raised in the sworn testimony of the utility. However, if a substantive response to the specific issues raised in the motion has not been filed with this Commission by April 22, 2010, I move that the Motion to Dismiss be granted as substantively unopposed, and the complaint dismissed.

PRESIDING: FlemingSESSION: RegularTIME: 2:30 p.m.

	MOTION	YES	NO	OTHER
FLEMING	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
HAMILTON	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
HOWARD	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
MITCHELL	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
WHITFIELD	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
WRIGHT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

(SEAL)

RECORDED BY: J. Schmieding

